
ACCOUNTING

9706/33

Paper 3 Structured Questions

May/June 2017

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 150

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© IGCSE is a registered trademark.

This document consists of **16** printed pages.

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																																				
1(a)	Provide information about the financial position (1) and financial performance (1) , and cash flows (1) of an entity. Useful to a wide range of users in making economic decisions. (1) Max 2	2																																				
1(b)	<p style="text-align: center;">XY plc – Income Statement for year ended 31 January 2017</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">985 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost of sales</td> <td style="text-align: right;">W1</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>448 600</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gross profit</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">536 400</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distribution costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">W2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">201 200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administrative expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">W3</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>390 428</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss from operations</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(55 228)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Finance cost</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>5 000</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss for the year</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>(60 228)</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)OF</td> </tr> </table>			\$		Revenue		985 000		Cost of sales	W1	<u>448 600</u>	(3)	Gross profit		536 400		Distribution costs	W2	201 200	(5)	Administrative expenses	W3	<u>390 428</u>	(4)	Loss from operations		(55 228)	(1)OF	Finance cost		<u>5 000</u>	(1)	Loss for the year		<u>(60 228)</u>	(1)OF	15
		\$																																				
Revenue		985 000																																				
Cost of sales	W1	<u>448 600</u>	(3)																																			
Gross profit		536 400																																				
Distribution costs	W2	201 200	(5)																																			
Administrative expenses	W3	<u>390 428</u>	(4)																																			
Loss from operations		(55 228)	(1)OF																																			
Finance cost		<u>5 000</u>	(1)																																			
Loss for the year		<u>(60 228)</u>	(1)OF																																			

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																																																																											
	<p>Workings</p> <p>W1 Cost of sales</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Opening inventory</td> <td style="text-align: right;">37 100</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Purchases</td> <td style="text-align: right;">428 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Closing inventory $100 \times \\$65 + \\$36\,000$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">42 500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">422 600</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Machine depreciation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depreciation on buildings</td> <td style="text-align: right;">16 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">448 600</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>W2 Distribution costs</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Per original</td> <td style="text-align: right;">144 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depreciation motor vehicles*</td> <td style="text-align: right;">29 200</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss on disposal of motor vehicle</td> <td style="text-align: right;">28 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$20\,000 - 48\,000$</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">201 200</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>*Motor vehicle at cost $230\,000 + 60\,000 - 75\,000$</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">215 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Accumulated depreciation $\\$96\,000 - \\$27\,000$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">69 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">146 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1) OF</td> </tr> </table> <p>20% depreciation thereof</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">29 200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1) OF</td> </tr> </table> <p>W3 Administrative expenses</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Per original</td> <td style="text-align: right;">346 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">64 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Irrecoverable debt</td> <td style="text-align: right;">8 800</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Provision for doubtful debts</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$(102\,000 - 8\,800) \times 4\% - 2\,100$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 628</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less: cost of machine</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(30 000)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">390 428</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> </table>		\$		Opening inventory	37 100		Purchases	428 000		Closing inventory $100 \times \$65 + \$36\,000$	42 500	(1)		422 600		Machine depreciation	10 000	(1)	Depreciation on buildings	16 000	(1)		448 600		Per original	144 000		Depreciation motor vehicles*	29 200		Loss on disposal of motor vehicle	28 000	(1)	$20\,000 - 48\,000$				201 200			215 000	(1)	Accumulated depreciation $\$96\,000 - \$27\,000$	69 000	(1)		146 000	(1) OF		29 200	(1) OF		\$		Per original	346 000		Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$	64 000	(1)	Irrecoverable debt	8 800	(1)	Provision for doubtful debts			$(102\,000 - 8\,800) \times 4\% - 2\,100$	1 628	(1)	Less: cost of machine	(30 000)			390 428	(1)	
	\$																																																																												
Opening inventory	37 100																																																																												
Purchases	428 000																																																																												
Closing inventory $100 \times \$65 + \$36\,000$	42 500	(1)																																																																											
	422 600																																																																												
Machine depreciation	10 000	(1)																																																																											
Depreciation on buildings	16 000	(1)																																																																											
	448 600																																																																												
Per original	144 000																																																																												
Depreciation motor vehicles*	29 200																																																																												
Loss on disposal of motor vehicle	28 000	(1)																																																																											
$20\,000 - 48\,000$																																																																													
	201 200																																																																												
	215 000	(1)																																																																											
Accumulated depreciation $\$96\,000 - \$27\,000$	69 000	(1)																																																																											
	146 000	(1) OF																																																																											
	29 200	(1) OF																																																																											
	\$																																																																												
Per original	346 000																																																																												
Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$	64 000	(1)																																																																											
Irrecoverable debt	8 800	(1)																																																																											
Provision for doubtful debts																																																																													
$(102\,000 - 8\,800) \times 4\% - 2\,100$	1 628	(1)																																																																											
Less: cost of machine	(30 000)																																																																												
	390 428	(1)																																																																											

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																														
1(c)	<p style="text-align: center;">XY plc Statement of Changes in Equity for year ended 31 January 2017</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Share \$</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Share \$</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Retained \$</th> <th style="width: 25%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Balance at start of year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">500 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">120 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">125 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss for the year</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(60 228)</td> <td>(1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dividend paid</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(20 000)</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bonus shares</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>50 000</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>(50 000)</u></td> <td></td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Balance at end of year</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>550 000</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>70 000</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>44 772</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Share \$	Share \$	Retained \$		Balance at start of year	500 000	120 000	125 000		Loss for the year			(60 228)	(1) OF	Dividend paid			(20 000)	(1)	Bonus shares	<u>50 000</u>	<u>(50 000)</u>		(1)	Balance at end of year	<u>550 000</u>	<u>70 000</u>	<u>44 772</u>		4
	Share \$	Share \$	Retained \$																													
Balance at start of year	500 000	120 000	125 000																													
Loss for the year			(60 228)	(1) OF																												
Dividend paid			(20 000)	(1)																												
Bonus shares	<u>50 000</u>	<u>(50 000)</u>		(1)																												
Balance at end of year	<u>550 000</u>	<u>70 000</u>	<u>44 772</u>																													
1(d)	<p>Responses may include:</p> <p>Bonus issue</p> <p>Shareholders may be expecting a cash bonus each year. Stop giving return to shareholders may be a negative signal about the performance of the company Company retains cash for other investment opportunities The interest of shareholders is not diluted by receiving the proportionate number of bonus shares Transfer from reserves</p> <p>Cash dividend</p> <p>Company maintains the practice of giving out cash returns to shareholders constantly Company may have liquidity problem in paying out cash dividend Short term benefit (cash) vs long term benefit (shares value increase). Accept any reasonable alternatives</p> <p>Advice 1 mark and 3 max for relevant points</p> <p>For each valid point, 1 mark for basic explanation and 2 marks for developed explanation</p>	4																														
	Total:	25																														

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																																																																	
2(a)	<p style="text-align: center;">G Limited Revised statement of financial position at 31 December 2016</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-current assets</td> <td style="text-align: right;">642 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current assets</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Inventory</td> <td style="text-align: right;">78 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Trade receivables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">179 400</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(2)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Other receivables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">63 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Cash and cash equivalents</td> <td style="text-align: right;">54 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>374 400</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Total assets</td> <td style="text-align: right;"> <u>1 016 400</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Equity and liabilities</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equity</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Ordinary shares of \$1 each</td> <td style="text-align: right;">550 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Retained earnings</td> <td style="text-align: right;">258 400</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>808 400</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Current liabilities</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Trade payables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">171 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Other payables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">37 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>208 000</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Total equity and liabilities</td> <td style="text-align: right;"> <u>1 016 400</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Working</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Trade receivables</td> <td>$\\$189\,000 - (\\$12\,000 \text{ (1)} \times 80\% \text{ (1)}) = \\$179\,400$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other receivables</td> <td>$\\$3\,000 + \\$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \\$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \\$63\,000 \text{ (1)}$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other payables</td> <td>$\\$10\,000 + \\$27\,000 = \\$37\,000 \text{ (1)}$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Retained earnings</td> <td>$\\$235\,000 - \\$9\,600 \text{ (1)} - \\$27\,000 \text{ (1)} + \\$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \\$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \\$258\,400$</td> </tr> </table>		\$		Non-current assets	642 000		Current assets			Inventory	78 000		Trade receivables	179 400	(2)	Other receivables	63 000	(3)	Cash and cash equivalents	54 000			<u>374 400</u>		 Total assets	 <u>1 016 400</u>		 Equity and liabilities			Equity			Ordinary shares of \$1 each	550 000		Retained earnings	258 400	(4)		<u>808 400</u>		 Current liabilities			Trade payables	171 000		Other payables	37 000	(1)		<u>208 000</u>		 Total equity and liabilities	 <u>1 016 400</u>		Trade receivables	$\$189\,000 - (\$12\,000 \text{ (1)} \times 80\% \text{ (1)}) = \$179\,400$	Other receivables	$\$3\,000 + \$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \$63\,000 \text{ (1)}$	Other payables	$\$10\,000 + \$27\,000 = \$37\,000 \text{ (1)}$	Retained earnings	$\$235\,000 - \$9\,600 \text{ (1)} - \$27\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \$258\,400$	10
	\$																																																																		
Non-current assets	642 000																																																																		
Current assets																																																																			
Inventory	78 000																																																																		
Trade receivables	179 400	(2)																																																																	
Other receivables	63 000	(3)																																																																	
Cash and cash equivalents	54 000																																																																		
	<u>374 400</u>																																																																		
 Total assets	 <u>1 016 400</u>																																																																		
 Equity and liabilities																																																																			
Equity																																																																			
Ordinary shares of \$1 each	550 000																																																																		
Retained earnings	258 400	(4)																																																																	
	<u>808 400</u>																																																																		
 Current liabilities																																																																			
Trade payables	171 000																																																																		
Other payables	37 000	(1)																																																																	
	<u>208 000</u>																																																																		
 Total equity and liabilities	 <u>1 016 400</u>																																																																		
Trade receivables	$\$189\,000 - (\$12\,000 \text{ (1)} \times 80\% \text{ (1)}) = \$179\,400$																																																																		
Other receivables	$\$3\,000 + \$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \$63\,000 \text{ (1)}$																																																																		
Other payables	$\$10\,000 + \$27\,000 = \$37\,000 \text{ (1)}$																																																																		
Retained earnings	$\$235\,000 - \$9\,600 \text{ (1)} - \$27\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$20\,000 \text{ (1)} + \$40\,000 \text{ (1)} = \$258\,400$																																																																		

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	<p>Rental deposit paid which is refundable at the end of the lease period should be treated as current asset, i.e. realised within 12 months (1)</p> <p>Prepaid rent \$40 000 ($\\$200\,000 \times 2 / 10$) should be treated as current assets (i.e. realised within 12 months) and only \$160 000 is recognised as expense of the year – accrual concept (1)</p> <p>The company has breached the law (present obligation arising from past events) and the penalty to be paid is regarded as a liability. (1) A provision for penalty \$27 000 should be charged to income statement with the creation of liability at the same time – IAS 37 (1)</p> <p>\$47 000 expected to be incurred to rebuild the fire exists is not a present obligation. (1). Accrual or disclosure of this amount is not required.</p>	5
2(c)	<p>Auditor provides reassurance to shareholders that the accounts are true records of the business activities Auditor expresses his/her opinion whether the financial statements give a true and fair view carry out checks to ensure that the directors have acted in the best interest of the shareholders. To prevent fraud 1mark for each valid point + 1 mark for development. Max 4 marks</p>	4
2(d)	<p>Auditor is appointed by shareholders, not directors The auditor is accountable to shareholders</p> <p>1 mark for each valid point. Max 2</p>	2

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
2(e)	<p>Responses could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FIFO and AVCO are accounting methods in costing inventories permitted by the international accounting standard (IAS 2); to adopt which method is the accounting policy of the business • Business should select and apply its accounting policies consistently • Financial statements should contain relevant and reliable information • Business shall change an accounting policy only if the change (1) is required by the accounting standards; or (2) results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions. • The cost of goods has an increasing trend. FIFO method attracts a higher inventory value and therefore a higher gross profit. • The directors cannot change the method if its purpose is only to improve the profitability. <p>Accept any reasonable alternative</p> <p>(1 mark) for recommendation (1 mark) × 3 valid reasons</p>	4
	Total:	25

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																																						
3(a)	Separate from own business (2) . Identify share of profit for each (2) . Shared responsibility (2) . Flexibility (2) . Identification 1 + development 1. Max. 2 benefits.	4																																						
3(b)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sales income</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">35 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost of goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">25 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 700</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Commission</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(30 200)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Profit</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">4 800 (1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Split:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greaves</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 200 (1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hurst</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 600 (1) OF</td> </tr> </table>		\$		\$	Sales income			35 000	Cost of goods	25 000	(1)		Expenses	1 700	(1)		Commission	3 500	(1)	(30 200)	Profit			4 800 (1) OF	Split:				Greaves			3 200 (1) OF	Hurst			1 600 (1) OF	6		
	\$		\$																																					
Sales income			35 000																																					
Cost of goods	25 000	(1)																																						
Expenses	1 700	(1)																																						
Commission	3 500	(1)	(30 200)																																					
Profit			4 800 (1) OF																																					
Split:																																								
Greaves			3 200 (1) OF																																					
Hurst			1 600 (1) OF																																					
3(c)(i)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Greaves account</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td>Sales</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35 000 (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">900</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Comm</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – cash</td> <td style="text-align: right;">12 400</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">35 000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">35 000</td> </tr> </table>	Greaves account					\$		\$	J.V – goods	15 000	(1)	Sales	35 000 (1)	J.V – expenses	900	(1)			J.V – Comm	3 500	(1)			J.V – Profit	3 200	(1)			J.V – cash	12 400					35 000			35 000	5
Greaves account																																								
	\$		\$																																					
J.V – goods	15 000	(1)	Sales	35 000 (1)																																				
J.V – expenses	900	(1)																																						
J.V – Comm	3 500	(1)																																						
J.V – Profit	3 200	(1)																																						
J.V – cash	12 400																																							
	35 000			35 000																																				
3(c)(ii)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Hurst account</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">800</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 600</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> <td>J.V – cash</td> <td style="text-align: right;">12 400 (1) OF BOTH</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">12 400</td> <td style="text-align: center;">OF</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">12 400</td> </tr> </table>	Hurst account					\$		\$	J.V – goods	10 000	(1)		J.V – expenses	800	(1)		J.V – Profit	1 600	(1)	J.V – cash	12 400 (1) OF BOTH		12 400	OF		12 400	4												
Hurst account																																								
	\$		\$																																					
J.V – goods	10 000	(1)																																						
J.V – expenses	800	(1)																																						
J.V – Profit	1 600	(1)	J.V – cash	12 400 (1) OF BOTH																																				
	12 400	OF		12 400																																				

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	<p>Advice (1) Justification (5)</p> <p>Benefits of partnership Continuity (1) Long-term relationship (1) Formalised agreement (1) Easier to raise finance (1) Max 3</p> <p>Disadvantages of partnership Unlimited liability Decision making is more difficult Partners bound by agreement Partners jointly responsible for debts Short-term Max 2</p>	6
	Total:	25

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer		Marks
4(a)	LM plc	AB plc	4
4(a)(i)	$\frac{125\,000 - 4\,000}{600\,000} = \0.20	$\frac{175\,000 - 6\,000}{500\,000} = \0.34	
4(a)(ii)	$\frac{1.80}{0.20} = 9.00$ (times)	$\frac{2.20}{0.34} = 6.47$ (times) (1)OF	
4(a)(iii)	$\frac{0.10}{1.80} \times 100\% = 5.56\%$	$\frac{0.10}{2.20} \times 100\% = 4.55\%$ (1)	
4(a)(iv)	$\frac{125\,000 - 4\,000}{60\,000} = 2.02$ times	$\frac{175\,000 - 6\,000}{50\,000} = 3.38$ times	
4(b)	<p>Portion of profit available to shareholders of AB plc is larger. (1) AB plc is better. (1)</p> <p>The current market price compared to earnings per share of LM plc is higher. (1) LM plc is better. (1)</p> <p>Dividend expressed as a percentage of the market value. It is higher for LM plc (1) LM plc is better. (1)</p> <p>The number of times that dividends may be paid out of available profits is higher for AB plc. (1) AB plc is better. (1)</p>		8
4(c)(i)	<p>Gearing is the proportion of long term debt (1) to equity and long term debt (1) expressed as a percentage. Max 2</p>		2

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
4(c)(ii)	$\text{LM plc } \frac{250\,000}{725\,000} \times 100\% = 34.48\% \text{ (1)} \quad \text{AB plc } \frac{200\,000}{1\,000\,000} \times 100\% = 20\% \text{ (1)}$ <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> $\frac{250\,000}{725\,000 - (4\,000 + 60\,000)} = 37.82\% \quad \frac{200\,000}{1\,000\,000 - (6\,000 + 50\,000)} = 21.19\%$	2
4(c)(iii)	<p>LM plc is above the industry average (1) whilst AB plc is below the industry average. (1) Both are low geared companies (1) and the industry average suggests that competitors are also low geared (1) as the average is below 50%. (1) James could therefore expect to receive future dividends provided that the companies continue to be profitable. (1) Max 5</p>	5
4(d)	<p>The ratios give mixed messages. (1)OF LM plc is favourable for price earnings and dividend yield (1)of but AB plc is favourable for earnings per share and dividend cover. (1)OF James may be concerned that the market value of LM has fallen in the past year. (1) AB plc is more lowly geared (1) and James may feel this to be a safer investment. (1)OF I would advise James to invest in AB plc. (1)OF Other valid points Max 3 + Decision 1</p>	4
	Total:	25

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																					
5(a)	Because the actual level of production is different from the budget. (1) So that meaningful comparisons can be made. (1)	2																					
5(b)	<p style="text-align: center;">EF plc Budgeted profit for March</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td style="text-align: right;">120 000</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Direct material</td> <td style="text-align: right;">19 200</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Direct labour</td> <td style="text-align: right;">48 000</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Variable overhead</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9 600</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed overhead</td> <td style="text-align: right;">14 000</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>29 200</u></td> <td>(1)OF</td> </tr> </table>		\$		Revenue	120 000	(1)	Direct material	19 200	(1)	Direct labour	48 000	(1)	Variable overhead	9 600	(1)	Fixed overhead	14 000	(1)	Profit	<u>29 200</u>	(1)OF	6
	\$																						
Revenue	120 000	(1)																					
Direct material	19 200	(1)																					
Direct labour	48 000	(1)																					
Variable overhead	9 600	(1)																					
Fixed overhead	14 000	(1)																					
Profit	<u>29 200</u>	(1)OF																					
5(c)(i)	Direct labour rate variance \$1024 favourable (2)	2																					
5(c)(ii)	Direct labour efficiency variance \$3200 adverse (2)	2																					
5(c)(iii)	Total direct labour variance \$2176 adverse (1)OF	1																					
	Note: one mark for amount and second for direction on each variance																						
5(d)(i)	Actual hours = $\frac{\$1620}{0.2} = 8100$ (1)OF	2																					
5(d)(ii)	Standard hours = 8100 (1of) - $\frac{\$18\,000}{\$10}$ (1) = 6300 (1)OF Number of units = $\frac{\$6300}{\$6}$ (1) = 1050 (1)OF	5																					
5(e)	Machine breakdown Lack of staff training Lower grade of staff Problems with materials Poor motivation Any two reasons for (1) each	2																					

Question	Answer	Marks
5(f)	Resistance Training costs Loss in production while training May not help if real cause of variances is not found Max 3	3
	Total:	25

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																																																																																										
6(a)	<p>Calculate the cost driver rates</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">Machine hrs</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Product X 10 000 units × 2.5hrs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">= 25 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Product Y 14 000 units × 0.5 hrs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">= 7 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>32 000</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Overhead costs</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$264 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Machine maintenance costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>32 000</u></td> <td>= \$8.25 Per machine hour (1)OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ordering costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$54 000</u> 80</td> <td>= \$675 Per order (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Production run costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$24 000</u> 48</td> <td>= \$500 Per set up (1)</td> </tr> </table> <p>Allocate overheads to products</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Product X</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Product Y</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Machine hrs</td> <td>25 000 × \$8.25</td> <td>206 250</td> <td>7 000 × \$8.25</td> <td>57 750</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Orders</td> <td>20 × \$675</td> <td>13 500</td> <td>60 × \$675</td> <td>40 500</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Production runs</td> <td>12 × \$500</td> <td>6 000</td> <td>36 × \$500</td> <td>18 000</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">225 750</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">116 250</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Units</td> <td>÷ 10 000</td> <td>Units</td> <td>÷ 14 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Overhead cost</td> <td>22.58 (1of)</td> <td>Overhead cost</td> <td>8.30 (1)OF</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Direct cost +</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>Direct cost +</td> <td>50.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Full cost per unit</td> <td>122.58</td> <td>Full cost per unit</td> <td>58.30 (1) OF both</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Machine hrs		Product X 10 000 units × 2.5hrs	= 25 000		Product Y 14 000 units × 0.5 hrs	= 7 000			<u>32 000</u>			\$264 000		Machine maintenance costs	<u>32 000</u>	= \$8.25 Per machine hour (1)OF	Ordering costs	<u>\$54 000</u> 80	= \$675 Per order (1)	Production run costs	<u>\$24 000</u> 48	= \$500 Per set up (1)			Product X		Product Y				\$		\$		Machine hrs	25 000 × \$8.25	206 250	7 000 × \$8.25	57 750	(1) OF both	Orders	20 × \$675	13 500	60 × \$675	40 500	(1) OF both	Production runs	12 × \$500	6 000	36 × \$500	18 000	(1) OF both			225 750		116 250			Units	÷ 10 000	Units	÷ 14 000				\$		\$			Overhead cost	22.58 (1of)	Overhead cost	8.30 (1)OF			Direct cost +	100.00	Direct cost +	50.00			Full cost per unit	122.58	Full cost per unit	58.30 (1) OF both		10
	Machine hrs																																																																																											
Product X 10 000 units × 2.5hrs	= 25 000																																																																																											
Product Y 14 000 units × 0.5 hrs	= 7 000																																																																																											
	<u>32 000</u>																																																																																											
	\$264 000																																																																																											
Machine maintenance costs	<u>32 000</u>	= \$8.25 Per machine hour (1)OF																																																																																										
Ordering costs	<u>\$54 000</u> 80	= \$675 Per order (1)																																																																																										
Production run costs	<u>\$24 000</u> 48	= \$500 Per set up (1)																																																																																										
		Product X		Product Y																																																																																								
		\$		\$																																																																																								
Machine hrs	25 000 × \$8.25	206 250	7 000 × \$8.25	57 750	(1) OF both																																																																																							
Orders	20 × \$675	13 500	60 × \$675	40 500	(1) OF both																																																																																							
Production runs	12 × \$500	6 000	36 × \$500	18 000	(1) OF both																																																																																							
		225 750		116 250																																																																																								
	Units	÷ 10 000	Units	÷ 14 000																																																																																								
		\$		\$																																																																																								
	Overhead cost	22.58 (1of)	Overhead cost	8.30 (1)OF																																																																																								
	Direct cost +	100.00	Direct cost +	50.00																																																																																								
	Full cost per unit	122.58	Full cost per unit	58.30 (1) OF both																																																																																								

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
6(b)	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Product X</p> <p>\$</p> <p>9.00 (1) OF</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Product Y</p> <p>\$</p> <p>18.00 (1)OF</p> </div> </div> <p>Direct labour hour basis $\frac{\\$342\,000}{28\,500\text{ (1)}} = \\$12/\text{hr} \times 0.75$</p> <p>Direct labour hours $\times 1.50$</p>	3
6(c)	<p>If he uses ABC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cost of X increases. } • The cost of Y decreases. (1) both <p>Direct labour hours</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on direct labour hours. Product Y has 2 times more hours per unit than product X. Therefore two times more share of overhead costs. (1) <p>ABC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • X has less set ups and orders than Y so takes less share of overhead costs (1) • X has more machine hours than Y so takes larger portion of machine based overheads (1) • The largest overhead costs are machine maintenance costs. The cost driver is machine hours, X has five times more hours per unit than Y so gets the largest portion. (1) <p>Max 3</p>	4

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks																					
6(d)	<table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">ABC</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ unit</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Full cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">122.58</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mark-up 40%</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>49.03</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Selling price</td> <td style="text-align: right;">171.61</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X 50 units</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Order price</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$8580.50</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)OF</td> </tr> </table> <p>Advice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ahmed should reject the offer as the offer price (\$8450) is less than his required price. (1) • Ahmed still makes profit (1) • May be able to build relationship with customer / further orders (1) • Ensures work force is not idle / spare capacity (1) <p>1 mark for advice and max 3 for discussion points. Other relevant points acceptable.</p>		ABC			\$ unit		Full cost	122.58		Mark-up 40%	<u>49.03</u>		Selling price	171.61	(1)OF	X 50 units			Order price	\$8580.50	(1)OF	6
	ABC																						
	\$ unit																						
Full cost	122.58																						
Mark-up 40%	<u>49.03</u>																						
Selling price	171.61	(1)OF																					
X 50 units																							
Order price	\$8580.50	(1)OF																					
6(e)	<p>Fairer / more accurate / meaningful allocation of overhead costs. Provides good understanding of what drives the cost. Uses multiple cost drivers so recognises complexity of manufacturing. Useful for decision making (profitability / pricing / discontinue lines). Accurate and reliable cost information. (1 mark) × any two reasons. Max 2</p>	2																					
	Total:	25																					